

## B. Reflection sheets (Own & Other)

Team members \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

**Let's think...Starting with our argument**

One of our **MAIN ARGUMENTS** was:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Their **COUNTERARGUMENT** against our argument was:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Our **COMEBACK** was:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

How can this **COMEBACK** be improved?  
Is there a more effective comeback?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



Team members \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

**Let's think...Starting with the other side's argument**

One of the other side's  
**MAIN ARGUMENTS** was:

---

---

---

---

Our **COUNTERARGUMENT**  
against their argument was:

---

---

---

---

Give a specific example of an  
improved, more effective  
**COUNTERARGUMENT**.

---

---

---

---

---

---



## C. Summary Reflection Sheets

### “Other” Summary Reflection Sheet (Pink)

THEIR ARGUMENT:

OUR BEST COUNTERARGUMENT:

ANOTHER COUNTERARGUMENT:

**“Own” Summary Reflection Sheet (Green)**

```
graph TD; A[Our Argument] --> B[Counter 1]; A --> C[Counter 2]; B --> D[Rebuttal to Counter 1]; C --> E[Rebuttal to Counter 2];
```

Our Argument

Counter 1

Counter 2

Rebuttal to Counter 1

Rebuttal to Counter 2